# Framing & Covid-19 Vaccine Hesitancy: Experimental Evidence from India

Authors: Arzi Adbi<sup>1\*</sup>, Chirantan Chatterjee<sup>2,</sup>, Pranjali Sharma<sup>3</sup>

#### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Strategy & Policy, National University of Singapore Business School; Singapore, Singapore.

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author: <u>c.chatterjee@sussex.ac.uk;</u> Science Policy Research Unit, University of Sussex Business School, Hoover Institution (Stanford University); Economics, IIM Ahmedabad; Ahmedabad, India.

<sup>3</sup>IIM Ahmedabad, India.

### **Supplementary Material**

# Figure S1. Estimates of Heterogeneity in Treatment Effects







![](_page_2_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_2.jpeg)

d

Heterogeneity in Treatment Effects by Conscientiousness

![](_page_2_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

Heterogeneity in Treatment Effects by Emotional Stability

![](_page_3_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

Heterogeneity in Treatment Effects by Education

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

Heterogeneity in Treatment Effects by Gender

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Heterogeneity in Treatment Effects by Work from Home Ability

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

Notes. Plots show the coefficient estimates with 90 % confidence intervals obtained from OLS regression models.

Variables	Description
Consume	Indicator set to 1 if the participant stated that she or he will consume the COVID-19 vaccine, and 0 if the
	participant stated that she or he will not consume the COVID-19 vaccine
Willingness to Consume	Participant's willingness to consume the COVID-19 vaccine on a scale from 0 to 100, where 100 refers to 100% willingness to consume the vaccine
Loss Framing	Indicator set to 1 if the participant received loss framing message, and 0 if the participant received gain framing message
Individual Benefit	Indicator set to 1 if the participant received individual benefit emphasis message, and 0 if the participant received societal benefit emphasis message
Indian Firm	Indicator set to 1 if the participant received a message stating an Indian firm, and 0 if the participant received a message stating an American firm
Deep Discount	Indicator set to 1 if the participant received a message with 80% discount, and 0 if the participant received a message with 20% discount
Age	Age in years
Female	Indicator set to 1 if the participant is female, and 0 otherwise
High Education	Indicator set to 1 if the participant's highest educational degree is a Master's degree, a Doctoral degree, or a Professional degree (JD, MD), and 0 otherwise
Duration	Survey completion time in minutes
Never Consumed Adult Vaccine	e Indicator set to 1 if the participant has never consumed any adult vaccine in the past
Already Trust Vaccine	Indicator set to 1 if the participant already trusts the vaccine
Current Exposure to COVID-19	Current exposure to COVID-19 from 0 to 100, where 0 denotes minimum exposure and 100 denotes
Work from Home Ability	Participant's assessment of their work type enabling an ability to work from home on a scale from 1 to 7,
Extraversion	Participant's extraversion measured on a 1 to 7 scale using the ten-item personality inventory
Agreeableness	Participant's agreeableness measured on a 1 to 7 scale using the ten-item personality inventory
Conscientiousness	Participant's conscientiousness measured on a 1 to 7 scale using the ten-item personality inventory
Emotional Stability	Participant's emotional stability measured on a 1 to 7 scale using the ten-item personality inventory
Openness to Experiences	Participant's openess to experiences measured on a 1 to 7 scale using the ten-item personality inventory

**Table S1. Variables Description** 

*Notes.* The table describes the key variables used in the study.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS
Variables	Consume	Consume	Consume	Consume	Consume	Willingness	Willingness	Willingness	Willingness	Willingness
variables	Consume	Consume	consume	consume	consume	to Consume				
Loss Framing	0.038				0.039*	2.192				2.357
	(0.111)				(0.099)	(0.211)				(0.178)
Individual Benefit		0.047**			0.048**		4.662***			4.676***
		(0.046)			(0.043)		(0.008)			(0.008)
Indian Firm			-0.001		-0.001			0.557		0.517
			(0.959)		(0.952)			(0.751)		(0.768)
Deep Discount				0.020	0.020				3.020*	3.070*
				(0.409)	(0.388)				(0.085)	(0.080)
Constant	0.723***	0.718***	0.743***	0.732***	0.689***	70.120***	68.883***	70.939***	69.691***	65.892***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Observations	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365
R-squared	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.001	0.005	0.000	0.002	0.009

# Table S2. Average Treatment Effects using OLS Regression Models

*Notes.* Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors; exact p-values are reported in parentheses; two-tailed tests have been used. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1.

	-			-	-		-			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	Logit	Logit	Logit	Logit	Logit	Tobit	Tobit	Tobit	Tobit	Tobit
Variables	Conquima	Conguma	Concurre	Concurre	Concurra	Willingness	Willingness	Willingness	Willingness	Willingness
variables	Consume	Consume	Consume	Consume	Consume	to Consume				
Loss Framing	0.197				0.205*	3.680				3.933
	(0.112)				(0.099)	(0.177)				(0.147)
Individual Benefit		0.247**			0.251**		7.491***			7.492***
		(0.046)			(0.044)		(0.006)			(0.006)
Indian Firm			-0.006		-0.008			1.112		1.121
			(0.959)		(0.951)			(0.683)		(0.680)
Deep Discount				0.102	0.107				4.498*	4.540*
				(0.409)	(0.387)				(0.099)	(0.095)
Constant	0.961***	0.937***	1.060***	1.006***	0.786***	78.261***	76.359***	79.549***	77.824***	71.544***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Observations	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365

Table S3.	Average	Treatment	Effects	using ]	Logit and	Tobit ]	Regression	Models
					Sogie wine			1.10.0000

*Notes.* Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors; exact p-values are reported in parentheses; two-tailed tests have been used. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	OLS	Logit	OLS	Tobit
Variables	Consume	Consume	Willingness	Willingness
variables	Consume	Consume	to Consume	to Consume
Loss Framing	0.035	0.179	2.078	3.543
	(0.167)	(0.167)	(0.263)	(0.217)
Individual Benefit	0.042*	0.214*	4.228**	6.977**
	(0.100)	(0.100)	(0.023)	(0.015)
Indian Firm	-0.001	-0.008	0.707	1.247
	(0.953)	(0.951)	(0.704)	(0.664)
Deep Discount	0.029	0.152	2.941	4.248
	(0.242)	(0.242)	(0.114)	(0.139)
Constant	0.683***	0.756***	65.587***	71.094***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Observations	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229
R-squared	0.005		0.007	

Table S4. Average Treatment Effects excluding Outliers based on Task Completion Duration

*Notes.* Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors; exact p-values are reported in parentheses; two-tailed tests have been used. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1. Number of observations is 1,229 instead of 1,365 because outliers (i.e., respondents who took less time than 5<sup>th</sup> percentile or more time than 95<sup>th</sup> percentile) have been dropped from this additional analysis (in accordance with the preregistered plan).